

Discrete matrix Riccati equations with superposition formulas

Alexei V. Penskoi*, Pavel Winternitz†

Abstract

An ordinary differential equation is said to have a superposition formula if its general solution can be expressed as a function of a finite number of particular solution. Nonlinear ODE's with superposition formulas include matrix Riccati equations. Here we shall describe discretizations of Riccati equations that preserve the superposition formulas. The approach is general enough to include q -derivatives and standard discrete derivatives.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 34A34, 39A12

Keywords: Riccati equation, superposition formulas, difference equations

1 Introduction

The well-known Riccati equation

$$\dot{w} = a(t) + b(t)w + c(t)w^2 \quad (1)$$

arises in numerous contexts. For example, one can find particular cases of (1) as equations describing Darboux transformation for the Schrödinger operator [1], as equations describing particular solutions

*Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, C. P. 6128, succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada e-mail: penskoi@crm.umontreal.ca

†Centre de recherches mathématiques et Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université de Montréal, C. P. 6128, succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada e-mail: wintern@crm.umontreal.ca

of Painlevé equations [2], or as Bäcklund transformations for soliton like equations [3, 4].

The Riccati equation has many interesting properties, one of them is the fact that it is a non-linear equation with a superposition formula. A differential equation has a superposition formula if it is possible to express its general solution as a function of a finite number of particular solutions and arbitrary constants.

It is easy to prove that the Riccati equation (1) has a superposition formula. Let w_0, w_1, w_2 be three different particular solutions of Eq. (1). It is well-known that using one particular solution one can transform (1) into a linear equation. Indeed, let $w = y + w_0$, then we have $\dot{y} = (b + 2cw_0)y + cy^2$. Now let $y = \frac{1}{x}$, then we obtain a linear equation $\dot{x} = -(b + 2cw_0)x - c$. We know two particular solutions $x_1 = \frac{1}{w_1 - w_0}$ and $x_2 = \frac{1}{w_2 - w_0}$ of this linear equation, hence we can find a general solution of this linear equation using x_1, x_2 and one arbitrary constant. This gives us a formula for the general solution of the Riccati equation in terms of three particular solutions w_0, w_1, w_2 and one arbitrary constant c :

$$w = w_0 + \frac{(w_2 - w_0)(w_1 - w_0)}{w_2 - w_0 + c(w_1 - w_2)}. \quad (2)$$

One can also consider the matrix Riccati equation

$$\dot{W} = A(t) + B(t)W + WC(t) + WD(t)W, \quad (3)$$

where W is a $n \times k$ -matrix and A, B, C, D are matrices of appropriate sizes. This equation also has a superposition formula [5, 6].

One can discretize the Riccati equations (1) and (3) in different ways, but we are interested in discretizations possessing superposition formulas. Our main result is the following. Let us consider a two-parameter class of operators $U_{q,h}$:

$$U_{q,h}f(t) = \begin{cases} f'(t) & \text{if } q = 1, h = 0 \\ \frac{f(qt+h)-f(t)}{(q-1)t+h} & \text{in other cases.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

As we shall show below the equation

$$U_{q,h}w(t) = a(t) + b(t)w(t) + w(qt+h)c(t) + w(qt+h)d(t)w(t) \quad (5)$$

has a superposition formula. In the case where $q = 1, h = 0$ we obtain the Riccati equation (1). It can be easily seen that in the case

$q = 1, h \neq 0$ we obtain the h -Riccati equation

$$\frac{w(t+h) - w(t)}{h} = a(t) + b(t)w(t) + w(t+h)c(t) + w(t+h)d(t)w(t),$$

and in the case $h = 0, q \neq 1$ we obtain the q -Riccati equation

$$\frac{w(qt) - w(t)}{(q-1)t} = a(t) + b(t)w(t) + w(qt)c(t) + w(qt)d(t)w(t).$$

Analogous results hold also in the matrix case.

It is interesting to remark that particular cases of such discretizations appear in the same contexts as their classical analogue, for example as Darboux transformations for the discrete Schrödinger operator [1, 7], or as equations describing particular solutions of discrete Painlevé equations [8]. Thus the discretizations constructed in the present paper are very natural.

2 Differential and difference equations with superposition formulas

Let us consider a (vector) first order ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{y}^k = f^k(\mathbf{y}, t), \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (6)$$

We shall say that this equation has a superposition formula if its general solution $\mathbf{y}(t)$ can be expressed as a function of a finite number m of particular solutions $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m$ and n free constants

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m, c_1, \dots, c_n). \quad (7)$$

The formula (7) is called a superposition formula for Eq. (6).

The study of ordinary differential equations with superposition formulas has a long history that goes back to Sophus Lie. He proved the following fundamental theorem.

Theorem 1 (Lie [9]) *The equation (6) has a superposition formula if and only if the function $\mathbf{f}$ has the form*

$$f^k(\mathbf{y}, t) = \sum_{l=1}^r Z_l(t) \xi_l^k(\mathbf{y}),$$

where the functions $\xi_l(\mathbf{y})$ are such that the vector fields

$$X_l = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_l^k(\mathbf{y}) \partial_{y^k}$$

generate a finite dimensional Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of the algebra of vector fields of $\mathbb{C}^N$ or $\mathbb{R}^N$, i.e.

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ijk} X_k.$$

Difference equations with superposition formulas can be defined in a similar way. In order to see what can be done in the difference case, let us reformulate the Lie theorem in different terms.

Let G be a Lie group acting on a manifold M . Let us consider a curve $g(t)$ on G such that $g(t_0) = e$ and a point $u_0 \in M$. It is easy to find a differential equation for a curve $u(t) = g(t) \cdot u_0$ on M . Indeed,

$$\dot{u}(t) = \dot{g}(t) \cdot u_0 = \dot{g}(t)g(t)^{-1}g(t) \cdot u_0 = \dot{g}(t)g(t)^{-1} \cdot u(t).$$

Since $\dot{g}g^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$, we see that the following statement holds.

Statement 1. A curve $u(t) = g(t) \cdot u_0$, such that $g(t_0) = e$, is a solution of a differential equation

$$\dot{u}(t) = \xi(t) \cdot u(t), \tag{8}$$

where $\xi = \dot{g}g^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$. The point u_0 plays the role of an initial condition, $u(t_0) = u_0$.

Let us now consider Eq. (8) with given $\xi(t)$. Let $u(t)$ be a solution. Let us choose a fixed value of the parameter t_0 and the initial value of the solution $u_0 = u(t_0)$. There exists a unique curve $g(t) \in G$ such that $\dot{g}g^{-1} = \xi$ and $g(t_0) = e$. We see that the curve $\tilde{u}(t) = g(t) \cdot u_0$ is also a solution of Eq. (8), and it also satisfies the initial condition $\tilde{u}(t_0) = u_0$. The following statement follows from the uniqueness theorem for solutions of ODEs.

Statement 2. Let $\xi(t)$ be a curve on $\mathfrak{g}$. Let t_0 be a fixed value of the parameter. Any solution $u(t)$ of equation (8) has the form $u(t) = g(t) \cdot u_0$, where $u_0 \in M$ is the initial condition $u(t_0) = u_0$ and $g(t)$ is a curve on G such that $g(t_0) = e$. The curve $g(t) \in G$ is uniquely determined by the conditions $\dot{g}g^{-1} = \xi$ and $g(t_0) = e$, hence $g(t)$ is the same for all solutions $u(t)$ of equation (8).

Statements 1 and 2 mean that Eq. (8) has a superposition formula. Indeed, let $u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t)$ be particular solutions of (8). Let us choose an initial value of the parameter t_0 . It follows from Statement 2 that $u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t)$ have the form $u_i(t) = g(t) \cdot u_i(t_0)$, where $g(t)$ is the same for all i . Then we have the following system of equations for $g(t)$:

$$\begin{cases} u_1(t) &= g(t) \cdot u_1(t_0), \\ &\dots \\ u_m(t) &= g(t) \cdot u_m(t_0). \end{cases}$$

If m is sufficiently large, $g(t)$ can be expressed in terms of $u_i(t)$ and $u_i(t_0)$:

$$g(t) = F(u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t); u_1(t_0), \dots, u_m(t_0)).$$

It was shown by Lie that m should at least satisfy the inequality $mn \geq r$, where $n = \dim M$ and r is the same r as in Theorem 1, i. e. the dimension of the image of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the algebra of vector fields on M .

It follows that the general solution has the form

$$u(t) = g(t) \cdot u_0 = F(u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t); u_1(t_0), \dots, u_m(t_0)) \cdot u(t_0), \quad (9)$$

the initial condition $u(t_0)$ plays the role of the arbitrary constant in the superposition formula (9). It should be remarked that the function F in the superposition formula (9) does not depend on the choice of t_0 , hence the superposition formula is essentially the same for any choice of t_0 . The superposition formula obtained by choosing another initial value of the parameter t_1 can be obtained from (9) by expressing the constants $u_1(t_0), \dots, u_m(t_0), u(t_0)$ in terms of $u_1(t_1), \dots, u_m(t_1), u(t_1)$.

It should also be mentioned that generally we also have some independence conditions on the particular solutions in order to find $g(t)$. For example in the case of a homogeneous linear equation of second order any solution is a linear combination of two particular solutions under the condition that these two solutions are linearly independent.

Statements 1 and 2 are not complicated. However, the Lie theorem also contains the following statement which is more difficult to prove. **Statement 3.** Every ordinary differential equation with a superposition formula must have the form (8) for some group G acting on some manifold M [9].

A modern exposition of the proof can be found in [10]. Statements 1, 2 and 3 are exactly what Lie's theorem states. There is a very important thing used implicitly. In the proof of Statement 2 a crucial step makes use of the uniqueness theorem for solutions of

ODEs. We are working implicitly with some class of functions such that for solutions from this class this theorem holds. In the same implicit manner we assume that equation (8) is an equation for which the uniqueness theorem holds. For differential equations the assumptions underlying the uniqueness theorem are very natural. One can assume that Eq. (8) is defined by a continuous curve $\xi(t)$ and that we are considering solutions in the class $C^1(\mathbb{R})$, i. e. the class of differentiable functions with continuous derivative. For difference equations the situation is different and the appropriate class of solutions must be carefully chosen and we need a more rigorous definition.

Definition. A differential (difference) equation is said to have a superposition formula in some class of solutions if the general solution belonging to this class can be expressed as a function of a finite number m of particular solutions $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m$, also belonging to this class, and free constants

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m, c_1, \dots, c_n), \quad (10)$$

where $n = \dim M$. Eq. (10) is called a superposition formula.

The question of describing all difference equations with superposition principles is beyond the scope of this paper. It is a very difficult question, especially since different difference operators require the consideration of completely different classes of solutions. We will see examples later in the case of the discrete Riccati equation. Let us concentrate on Statements 1 and 2 and see how one can construct difference equations with superposition formulas.

We can start again from a Lie group G , a manifold M , a curve $g(t) \in M$ such that $g(t_0) = e$ and a point $u_0 \in M$. Let $u(t) = g(t) \cdot u_0$. Let U be a first order difference operator, for example of the form (4) defined in the Introduction. The difference derivative $Uu(t)$ can be defined only in a fixed coordinate system on M . It is no longer an invariant object like the vector $\dot{u}(t)$. It is impossible, in general, to write something like $Uu(t) = Ug(t) \cdot u_0$ and reduce all to the Lie algebra, since there is no general chain rule for a difference operator and $Ug(t)$ is not, in general, an element of $\mathfrak{g}$. However, in some cases we can construct surrogates of statements 1 and 2 in the following way. Let us assume that for some G and M (with a fixed coordinate chart) the following statements are true.

Statement 1'. A curve $u(t) = g(t) \cdot u_0$ such that $g(t_0) = e$ is a solution of some difference equation

$$Uu(t) = H(u(t)). \quad (11)$$

Statement 2’. Let t_0 be a fixed value of the parameter. Any solution of equation (11), belonging to some class of solutions, has the form $u(t) = g(t) \cdot u_0$, where $u_0 \in M$ is the initial condition $u(t_0) = u_0$ and $g(t)$ is a curve on G such that $g(t_0) = e$. The curve $g(t) \in G$ is uniquely determined by the condition $g(t_0) = e$, i. e. $g(t)$ is the same for all solutions $u(t)$ of the equation (11).

In this case we can easily prove in the same way as for ODEs that the difference equation (11) has a superposition formula in the class of solutions mentioned in the Statement 2’. Moreover, this formula will be the same superposition formula (9) as for the differential equation, since in both cases we are working with solutions of the same form $u(t) = g(t) \cdot u_0$.

Let us now show how this works in the case of the matrix Riccati equation.

3 Differential and difference Riccati equations

It is easier in this Section to consider the matrix Riccati equation from the beginning. We will omit the word “matrix” for simplification.

Let us now recall how the Riccati equation arises from the construction described in Section 2. Consider $G = GL(N)$ and let M be a Grassman manifold $G_{n+k,k}$ of k -planes in an $N = (n+k)$ -dimensional space. The homogeneous coordinates of a point $p \in M$ are given by the components of an $(n+k) \times k$ -dimensional matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where X is a $n \times k$ -matrix and Y is a $k \times k$ -matrix. The columns of (12) span a k -plane defining p . The point p is thus identified with the equivalence class $\left[\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$ under the relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} Xh \\ Yh \end{pmatrix}, \quad h \in GL(k),$$

identifying different bases for the same k -plane. The action of an element

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ P & Q \end{pmatrix} \in GL(N)$$

upon M is obtained by the projection

$$\pi : \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \left[\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

from a linear action

$$g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ P & Q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the affine subspace defined by $\det Y \neq 0$ we may define inhomogeneous coordinates $W = XY^{-1}$, W is an $n \times k$ -matrix. In the inhomogeneous coordinates the group action is given by the formula

$$g \cdot W = (MW + N)(PW + Q)^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

Let us now consider Eq. (8). In this case it reduces to Eq. (3), where A, B, C, D are defined by $\xi(t)$:

$$\xi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) & A(t) \\ -D(t) & -C(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(N). \quad (14)$$

Let us now construct a difference version of the Riccati equation. We use the two-parameter class of operators $U_{q,h}$ defined by the formula (4) in the Introduction. This class of operators can be described in an axiomatic way by the following properties:

1. $U_{q,h} 1 = 0$.
2. $U_{q,h}(af + bg)(t) = aU_{q,h}f(t) + bU_{q,h}g(t)$, where a and b are constants.
3. $[U_{q,h}, t]f(t) = f(qt + h)$.

For us the important property of these operators is the modified Leibnitz rule:

$$U_{q,h}(fg)(t) = g(t)U_{q,h}f(t) + f(qt + h)U_{q,h}g(t).$$

Let us proceed as described in Section 2. Let $G = GL(N)$ and M be the Grassman manifold $G_{n+k,k}$ as before, where $N = n+k$. We need to choose a coordinate chart on M : let us take the inhomogeneous coordinates described above.

Let $g(t)$ be a curve on $GL(N)$ such that $g(t_0) = e$ and W_0 be the inhomogeneous coordinates of a point on M . We will represent $g(t)$ as

$$g(t) = \begin{pmatrix} M(t) & N(t) \\ P(t) & Q(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us find a difference equation for $W(t) = g(t) \cdot W_0$. The group action is described by the formula (13), so we have

$$W(t) = (M(t)W_0 + N(t))(P(t)W_0 + Q(t))^{-1}.$$

We can find $U_{q,h} W(t)$ directly, but it is better to proceed in the following way. Let us choose homogeneous coordinates for W_0 , i. e. matrices X_0, Y_0 such that $X_0 Y_0^{-1} = W_0$. Then we can consider $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} X(t) \\ Y(t) \end{pmatrix} = g(t) \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \\ Y_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M(t)X_0 + N(t)Y_0 \\ P(t)X_0 + Q(t)Y_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $W(t) = X(t)Y(t)^{-1}$. Since the group action in homogeneous coordinates is simply the matrix multiplication, it is easy to see that

$$U_{q,h} \begin{pmatrix} X(t) \\ Y(t) \end{pmatrix} = (U_{q,h} g(t)) \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \\ Y_0 \end{pmatrix} = (U_{q,h} g(t)) g(t)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X(t) \\ Y(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us represent $(U_{q,h} g(t)) g(t)^{-1}$ in the following way:

$$(U_{q,h} g(t)) g(t)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) & A(t) \\ -D(t) & -C(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

in the case of $U_{1,0} = \frac{d}{dx}$ the matrix functions $A(t), \dots, D(t)$ are the same as in (14). We obtain the following linear difference (or differential in the case $U_{1,0}$) equations:

$$U_{q,h} X(t) = B(t)X(t) + A(t)Y(t), \quad (15)$$

$$U_{q,h} Y(t) = -D(t)X(t) - C(t)Y(t). \quad (16)$$

It is easy to prove that for two matrices $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ we have the identity

$$U_{q,h} [AB^{-1}] (t) = [U_{q,h} A(t)] B(t)^{-1} - [AB^{-1}] (qt+h) [U_{q,h} B(t)] B(t)^{-1}.$$

Using this identity and Eqs. (15, 16) we can finally find a difference equation for $W(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{q,h} W(t) &= U_{q,h} [XY^{-1}] (t) = \\ &= [U_{q,h} X(t)] Y(t)^{-1} - [XY^{-1}] (qt+h) [U_{q,h} Y(t)] Y(t)^{-1} = \\ &= A(t) + B(t)W(t) + W(qt+h)C(t) + W(qt+h)D(t)W(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have found Statement 1' from section 2 in our case:

Theorem 2 *A function*

$$W(t) = (M(t)W_0 + N(t))(P(t)W_0 + Q(t))^{-1}, \quad (17)$$

such that

$$g(t) = \begin{pmatrix} M(t) & N(t) \\ P(t) & Q(t) \end{pmatrix} \in GL(N) \quad (18)$$

and $g(t_0) = I$, is a solution of the difference matrix Riccati equation

$$U_{q,h} W(t) = A(t) + B(t)W(t) + W(qt + h)C(t) + W(qt + h)D(t)W(t) \quad (19)$$

with the initial condition $W(t_0) = W_0$.

The matrices $A(t), \dots, D(t)$ are defined by the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} B(t) & A(t) \\ -D(t) & -C(t) \end{pmatrix} = [U_{q,h} g(t)] g(t)^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

In the case of $U_{1,0} = \frac{d}{dx}$ we obtain the usual (matrix) Riccati equation.

It is easy to see that the limit of Eq. (19) when $q \rightarrow 1$ and $h \rightarrow 0$ is the usual Riccati equation (3) with the same $A(t), \dots, D(t)$. Hence we can consider Eq. (19) as a discretization of the usual Riccati equation (3).

As explained in Section 2, in order to prove the existence of a superposition formula we need to prove Statement 2' from Section 2 in our case, i. e. we need to prove that any solution of Eq. (19) belonging to some class of solutions has the form (17), where the point W_0 is the initial condition $W(t_0) = W_0$, and the curve $g(t)$ (18) is uniquely determined by the condition $g(t_0) = I$, i. e. $g(t)$ is the same for all solutions.

As was already explained, we need a uniqueness theorem to prove this. This requires some assumptions on equation (19) and on the class of considered solutions.

4 Superposition formulas

The theory of superposition formulas for the discrete Riccati equation (19) depends on the values of q and h . We will consider two very different cases: the case $|q| \neq 1$ and the case $q = 1, h \neq 0$. We will not consider other cases since the case $q = 1, h = 0$ is simply that of differential equations, and the case $|q| = 1, q \neq 1$ would merit a separate treatment.

4.1 Superposition formulas in the case $|q| \neq 1$

The key observation in this case is the following. Let T be the transformation $T : t \mapsto qt + h$ of the complex plane. Then the set of points $T^n(t_0), n \in \mathbb{Z}$, has an accumulation point $\frac{h}{1-q}$. The well-known uniqueness theorem for holomorphic functions [11] says that if a function f , holomorphic in a disc, is equal to zero on a subset possessing an accumulation point inside the disc, then f is identically zero. This provides us with a uniqueness theorem for solutions of a difference equation in the class of functions meromorphic in the entire complex plane and holomorphic in a neighborhood of the accumulation point. Let us consider two solutions of Eq. (19) from this class. Let us consider a disc such that both solutions are holomorphic in this disc. For simplicity let us consider the case $|q| < 1$. Let our solutions be equal in some point t_0 inside the disc. Then we shall prove that two solutions are equal also in the points $T^n(t_0), n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from the above mentioned uniqueness theorem for holomorphic functions that two solutions are identical.

On the other hand the class of functions meromorphic in the entire complex plane and holomorphic in a neighborhood of the accumulation point is very natural since if $g(t)$ is holomorphic then the solution $W(t)$ (17) is, in general, a meromorphic function.

This leads us to the following theorem.

Theorem 3 *Let $|q| \neq 1$. The discrete Riccati equation (19) with holomorphic coefficients $A(t), B(t), C(t), D(t)$ has a superposition formula in the class of functions meromorphic in the entire complex plane and holomorphic in a neighborhood of the point $\frac{h}{1-q}$.*

Proof. Let us remark that the change of coordinates $t = t' + \frac{h}{1-q}$ permits us to reduce Eq. (19) to an equation of the same form but with $h = 0$. Hence we shall consider only this case, the case of q -derivative. Let us also remark that the change of coordinates $t = \frac{1}{q}t'$ permits us to reduce further to an equation of the same form but with $|q| < 1$.

Let us consider the formula (20) as a difference equation for the $N \times N$ -matrix $g(t)$. We can rewrite it as

$$U_{q,0} g(t) = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) & A(t) \\ -D(t) & -C(t) \end{pmatrix} g(t). \quad (21)$$

It is a homogeneous linear q -difference equation for $g(t)$. Let us also fix some t_0 and consider the initial problem $g(t_0) = I$.

It can be proven by methods similar to those used in Ref. [12] that there exists a unique solution $g(t)$ holomorphic in the entire complex plane. Let us prove that $g(t)$ is non-degenerate, i. e. $g(t) \in GL(N)$. Suppose that in some point t_1 we have $\det g(t_1) = 0$. We can rewrite equation (21) as

$$g(qt) = ((q-1)t \begin{pmatrix} B(t) & A(t) \\ -D(t) & -C(t) \end{pmatrix} + I)g(t).$$

We see that if $g(t)$ is degenerate then $g(qt)$ is degenerate. It follows that for the holomorphic function $\det g(t)$ we have $\det g(q^n t_1) = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $g(t) \equiv 0$, but it contradicts the initial condition $g(t_0) = I$. Hence $g(t) \in GL(N)$.

Let $W_1(t)$ be a solution of Eq. (19) meromorphic in the entire complex plane and holomorphic in a neighborhood of the point 0.

Let us choose some $R > 0$ such that the following conditions hold in the disc $|t| < R$:

1. $W_1(t)$ is holomorphic;
2. The matrix

$$I - (q-1)t(C(t) + D(t)W_1(t))$$

is non-degenerate.

Such R exists since $C(t), D(t)$ and $W_1(t)$ are holomorphic in zero.

Let us choose some t_0 such that $|t_0| < R$. Let $W_0 = W_1(t_0)$ and let

$$g(t) = \begin{pmatrix} M(t) & N(t) \\ P(t) & Q(t) \end{pmatrix} \in GL(N)$$

be the solution of (21) with the initial condition $g(t_0) = I$. Let us consider the function

$$W_2(t) = g(t) \cdot W_0 = (M(t)W_0 + N(t))(P(t)W_0 + Q(t))^{-1}. \quad (22)$$

It follows from Theorem 2 that the function (22) satisfies Eq. (19) and the initial condition $W_2(t_0) = W_0$. Let us note that $W_2(t)$ is meromorphic in the entire complex plane.

We can rewrite Eq. (19) in the form

$$W(qt)[I - (q-1)t(C(t) + D(t)W(t))] = (q-1)t(A(t) + B(t)W(t)) + W(t).$$

Since the matrix $I - (q-1)t(C(t) + D(t)W(t))$ is invertible in the disc $|t| < R$ and $|q| < 1$, we have

$$W_1(qt_0) = [(q-1)t(A(t_0) + B(t_0)W_0) + W_0][I - (q-1)t(C(t_0) + D(t_0)W_0)]^{-1}.$$

The same is true for $W_2(qt)$, so $W_2(qt_0) = W_1(qt_0)$. We can prove in analogous way that $W_2(q^n t) = W_1(q^n t)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $W_1(t)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of 0, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_2(q^n t_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_1(q^n t_0) = W_1(0)$$

and this implies that $W_2(t)$ cannot have a pole in 0. Since both $W_1(t)$ and $W_2(t)$ are holomorphic in some neighborhood of 0 and their values are the same in the sequence of points having 0 as an accumulation point, we obtain $W_1(t) \equiv W_2(t)$. Thus any solution of Eq. (19) meromorphic in the entire complex plane and holomorphic in a neighborhood of zero has the form (22).

This is “nearly” Statement 2’ from Section 2. “Nearly” because the initial point t_0 cannot be arbitrary, but should satisfy the condition $|t_0| < R$ and this R depends on the solution. However it permits us to find a superposition formula. Indeed, let $W_1(t), \dots, W_m(t)$ be particular solutions meromorphic in the entire complex plane and holomorphic in a neighborhood of zero. For each W_i we can find its own constant R_i and take $R = \min(R_1, \dots, R_m)$.

Let us choose an initial parameter value t_0 such that $|t_0| < R$. It follows that $W_1(t), \dots, W_m(t)$ have the form $W_i(t) = g(t) \cdot W_i(t_0)$, where $g(t)$ is the same for all i . As in Section 2 we have the system of equations for $g(t)$. If m is sufficiently large and $W_i(t)$ satisfy some additional independence conditions necessary for $g(t)$ to be expressed in terms of $W_i(t)$ and $W_i(t_0)$, we have

$$g(t) = F(u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t); u_1(t_0), \dots, u_m(t_0)).$$

It follows that the general solution holomorphic in the disc $|t| < |t_0| + \varepsilon$ has the form

$$u(t) = g(t) \cdot u_0 = F(u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t); u_1(t_0), \dots, u_m(t_0)) \cdot u(t_0), \quad (23)$$

the initial condition $u(t_0)$ plays a role of the arbitrary constant in the superposition formula (23). Since the function F does not depend on the choice of t_0 , we can consider a general solution holomorphic in a disc of radius less than R . If we decrease R and repeat our construction of the superposition formula, the resulting superposition formula will be the same. This finishes the proof. $\square$

As we already mentioned in Section 2, the resulting superposition formula is the same as in the case of usual Riccati equation (3). These superposition formulas can be found in [5, 6].

4.2 Superposition formulas in the case $q = 1$, $h \neq 0$

By rescaling $t = ht'$ we can transform Eq. (19) into the same equation with $q = 1, h = 1$.

This case is very different from the case $|q| \neq 1$. It is difficult to find a natural class of solutions defined in the entire complex plane. Since the set $\{t_0 + nh, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has no accumulation point, the uniqueness theorem for holomorphic functions cannot be applied. In this situation we have, for example, a uniqueness theorem for entire functions of growth order 1 and of normal type, but it is very unnatural. We already mentioned in the beginning of Subsection 4.1 that solutions of the Riccati equations are in general meromorphic. But the situation becomes very natural if we consider functions defined only on $\mathbb{Z}$, i. e. in integer points.

Theorem 4 *The discrete Riccati equation*

$$W(n+1) - W(n) = A(n) + B(n)W(n) + W(n+1)C(n) + W(n+1)D(n)W(n) \quad (24)$$

such that the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} I + B(n) & A(n) \\ -D(n) & I - C(n) \end{pmatrix} \quad (25)$$

are non-degenerate for all n has a superposition formula in the class of solutions defined on all $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let us consider the formula (20) as a difference equation for the $N \times N$ -matrix $g(t)$. We can rewrite it as

$$g(n+1) = \begin{pmatrix} I + B(n) & A(n) \\ -D(n) & I - C(n) \end{pmatrix} g(n).$$

Let us also fix some n_0 and consider the initial problem $g(n_0) = I$. Since the matrix (25) is non-degenerate,

$$g(n) = \begin{pmatrix} M(n) & N(n) \\ P(n) & Q(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

is uniquely defined for all n and $g(n) \in GL(N)$.

Let us consider a solution $W_1(n)$ defined on all $\mathbb{Z}$. Let us use the same n_0 and let W_0 be the initial condition $W_0 = W_1(n_0)$. We can consider a function

$$W_2(n) = g(n) \cdot W_0 = (M(n)W_0 + N(n))(P(n)W_0 + Q(n))^{-1}. \quad (26)$$

It is also a solution of Eq. (24) with the initial condition $W_2(n_0) = W_0$.

Eq. (24) can be rewritten in the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} W(n+1)[I - C(n) - D(n)W(n)] &= A(n) + B(n)W(n) + W(n), \\ [I + B(n) + W(n+1)D(n)]W(n) &= W(n+1) - A(n) - W(n+1)C(n). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if for all n we have

$$\det[I - C(n) - D(n)W_1(n)] \neq 0, \quad \det[I + B(n-1) + W_1(n)D(n-1)] \neq 0, \quad (27)$$

then for all n we can find $W_1(n)$ and $W_2(n)$ starting from the initial condition $W_0 = W_1(n_0) = W_2(n_0)$ and we can see that $W_1(n) \equiv W_2(n)$. We see that all the solutions satisfying the conditions (27) are of the form (26). This implies in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3 that equation (24) has a superposition formula in the class of solutions defined on all $\mathbb{Z}$ and satisfying (27). Moreover, a general solution satisfies conditions (27) since they represent only a countable number of inequalities (labeled by n). $\square$.

In the case of the differential Riccati equations we have a superposition formula in the class of $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ -solutions. However, for some particular choice of the constants this formula gives us solutions outside this class, for example with poles. The same situation arises in the case of equation (24). We have a superposition formula in the class of solutions defined on all $\mathbb{Z}$, but for some particular choices of the constants this formula gives us solutions which are singular in some points.

For example, let us consider

$$g(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1+t^2 & -t^2 \\ t^2 & 1-t^2 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2).$$

This curve gives us solutions

$$w(t) = \frac{(u_0 - 1)t^2 + u_0}{(u_0 - 1)t^2 + 1}$$

of the equation

$$\dot{w}(t) = -2t + 4tw(t) - 2tw(t)^2$$

with initial condition $w(0) = u_0$. For $u_0 \geq 1$ these solutions belong to $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and we can write a superposition formula. If we take three particular solutions w_0, w_1, w_2 corresponding to $u_0 = 1, u_0 = 2$ and $u_0 = 3$, respectively, we obtain from (2) the formula for the general solution belonging to $C^1(\mathbb{R})$:

$$w(t) = \frac{2t^2 + 4 - c}{2t^2 + 2 - c}.$$

If, for example, $c = 4$, we obtain a solution

$$w(t) = \frac{t^2}{t^2 - 1},$$

which is singular at $t = \pm 1$.

In the difference case the same curve gives us solutions

$$w(n) = \frac{(u_0 - 1)n^2 + u_0}{(u_0 - 1)n^2 + 1}$$

of the equation

$$w(n+1) - w(n) = -2n - 1 + (2n+1)w(n) + (2n+1)w(n+1) - (2n+1)w(n+1)w(n).$$

We obtain the same superposition formula

$$w(n) = \frac{2n^2 + 4 - c}{2n^2 + 2 - c}$$

valid for the solutions defined on all $\mathbb{Z}$. It also gives us solutions with singularities. For example for $c = 4$ we have

$$w(n) = \frac{n^2}{n^2 - 1},$$

which is singular at $n = \pm 1$. We see that the superposition formula can be used to avoid singularities in numerical computations, as already pointed out in Ref. [13].

5 Conclusions

We have constructed very natural discrete matrix Riccati equations with superposition formulas. This was done for a family of difference operators general enough to include both q -derivatives and standard discrete derivatives.

The key idea was considering superposition formulas in an appropriate class of solutions. Which class depends on the type of difference operator used. It turns out that in the case of the q -derivative it is natural to consider solutions meromorphic in the entire complex plane and holomorphic in a neighborhood of zero, and in the case of the standard discrete derivative, it is natural to consider solutions defined only in integer points.

The discretization of Riccati equations of this article should be compared with a previously proposed discretization of linearizable equations, including the Riccati one [14, 15]. There the curve in a Lie algebra, i. e. $\xi(t)$ of Eq. (8), was replaced by a curve in the corresponding Lie group G and this gave a linearizable mapping. E. g. for the Riccati equation (1), the corresponding discretization would be

$$w(t+h) = [g_{11}(t)w(t) + g_{12}(t)][g_{21}(t)w(t) + g_{22}(t)]^{-1}. \quad (28)$$

Here h is, on one hand, a lattice spacing, on the other hand a group parameter. Thus, the whole class of Riccati equations is replaced by the above class of homographic mappings. If equation (28) has constant coefficients, then the relation between the Riccati mapping and the Riccati equation is simple:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix} = e^{Lh}, \quad L \in sl(2), \quad g \in SL(2),$$

i. e.: $g_{11} = 1 + hb + \dots$, $g_{12} = ha + \dots$, $g_{21} = -hd + \dots$, $g_{22} = 1 - hc + \dots$, and for $h \rightarrow 0$ (28) reduces to

$$\dot{w}(t) = a + (b+c)w(t) + dw^2(t).$$

For variable coefficients the relation between a specific Riccati mapping and a specific Riccati equation is difficult to establish (i. e. it is necessary to integrate the Riccati equation explicitly). For all details we refer to the original articles [14, 15]. We also mention that discrete integrable mappings of the type (28) have been used to discretize the Pinney equation [16].

The relation between the discrete and continuous equations of this article, on the other hand, is quite straightforward. Given the ODE (3), we give the discrete equation (19) explicitly, involving the same functions $A(t), \dots, D(t)$. Thus equation (19) can serve as the basis for numerical approximations for differential equations. This would complement a previous use of superposition formulas in numerical analysis [13].

We would like to comment on the relation between the discrete Riccati equations and the Runge-Kutta method. Statement 2 of Section 2 states that in the class of $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ -solutions the differential Riccati equation (3) is equivalent to the linear matrix equation

$$\dot{g}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) & A(t) \\ -D(t) & -C(t) \end{pmatrix} g(t) \quad (29)$$

with the initial condition $g(t_0) = I$. Applying the Runge-Kutta method to Eq. (29), we obtain the difference equation

$$U_{1,h}g(t) = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) & A(t) \\ -D(t) & -C(t) \end{pmatrix} g(t)$$

which is in a similar way equivalent to the difference Riccati equation defined by the operator $U_{1,h}$. As it was explained before this will also give us singular solutions.

Equivalently we can say that all $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ -solutions of the Riccati equation (3) have the form $W(t) = X(t)Y(t)^{-1}$, where $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ are solutions of the linear system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} X(t) \\ Y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) & A(t) \\ -D(t) & -C(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X(t) \\ Y(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (30)$$

Applying the Runge-Kutta method to Eq. (30) gives us the equation

$$U_{1,h} \begin{pmatrix} X(t) \\ Y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) & A(t) \\ -D(t) & -C(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X(t) \\ Y(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

Introducing the inhomogeneous coordinates (the matrix elements of $W = XY^{-1}$) we transform (31) into the discrete Riccati equation (19) with $q = 1, h \neq 0$.

In other words, the h -discretization of the matrix Riccati equation, presented in this article, not only preserves the superposition formula. It is also equivalent to applying the Runge-Kutta method to the associated linear system (30).

Acknowledgments

A. P. is grateful to the Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, for its hospitality. The research of P. W. was partly supported by research grants from NSERC of Canada, and FQRNT du Québec.

References

- [1] S. P. Novikov and I. A. Dynnikov, Discrete spectral symmetries of small-dimensional differential operators and difference operators on regular lattices and two-dimensional manifolds (Russian), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 52 (1997), no. 5, 175–234; translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* 52 (1997), 1057–1116.
- [2] V. Gromak, Bäcklund transformations of Painlevé equations and their applications, in "The Painlevé property", 687–734, CRM Ser. Math. Phys., Springer, New York, 1999.
- [3] M. J. Ablowitz and P. A. Clarkson, "Solitons, nonlinear evolution equations and inverse scattering," London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 149. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991
- [4] A. Coley et al, Eds. "Bäcklund and Darboux transformations. The geometry of solitons. Proceedings of the AARMS-CRM Workshop held in Halifax, NS, June 4–9, 1999." CRM Proceedings & Lecture Notes, 29.
- [5] J. Harnad, P. Winternitz and R. L. Anderson, Superposition principles for matrix Riccati equations, *J. Math. Phys.* 24 (1983), 1062–1072.
- [6] M. A. del Olmo, M. A. Rodríguez and P. Winternitz, Superposition formulas for the rectangular matrix Riccati equations, *J. Math. Phys.* 28 (1987), 530–535.
- [7] A. Odziejewicz and A. Ryzko, The Darboux-like transform and some integrable cases of the q -Riccati equation, *J. Phys. A* 35 (2002), 747–757.
- [8] K. Kajiwara and K. Kimura, On a q -difference Painlevé III equation. I. Derivation, symmetry and Riccati type solutions, *J. Non-linear Math. Phys.* 10 (2003), 86–102.

- [9] Lie S., "Vorlesungen über continuirliche Gruppen mit geometrischen und anderen Anwendungen, Bearbeit und herausgegeben von Dr. G. Scheffers", Leipzig, Teubner, 1893.
- [10] J. F. Cariñena, J. Grabowski and G. Marmo, "Lie-Scheffers systems: a geometric approach," Napoli Series on Physics and Astrophysics. Bibliopolis, Naples, 2000.
- [11] M. J. Ablowitz and A. S. Fokas, "Complex variables: introduction and applications," Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [12] C. R. Adams, On the linear ordinary q -difference equation, *Ann. of Math.* 30 (1928-1929), 195–205.
- [13] D. W. Rand and P. Winternitz, Nonlinear superposition principles: a new numerical method for solving matrix Riccati equations, *Comput. Phys. Comm.* 33 (1984), 305–328.
- [14] B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani and P. Winternitz, Discretizing families of linearizable equations, *Phys. Lett. A* 245 (1998), 382–388.
- [15] A. Turbiner and P. Winternitz, Solutions of nonlinear differential and difference equations with superposition formulas, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 50 (1999), 189–201.
- [16] C. Rogers, W. K. Schief and P. Winternitz, Lie-theoretical generalization and discretization of the Pinney equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 216 (1997), 246–264.